arXiv:1402.1950v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 9 Feb 2014

Synthesis of Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$@$MnFe_2O_4$ trimagnetic core/shell/shell nanoparticles

Véronica Gavrilov-Isaac, Sophie Neveu, Vincent Dupuis, Delphine Talbot, and Valérie Cabuil
*Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 8234, PHENIX, F-75005 Paris, France CNRS, UMR 8234, PHENIX, F-75005 Paris, France**

PACS numbers:

Magnetic nanoparticles with spinel structure MFe_2O_4 (M = Fe, Co, Mn, Zn, Ni, Cu...) have been extensively studied for their various magnetic applications ranging from magnetic energy storage to biomedical applications.[1],[2] Different synthesis methods, such as co-precipitation[3],[4] , forced hydrolysis in a polyol medium[5],[6], micro-emulsions[7], hydrothermal synthesis[8],[9], microfluidic process[10], or thermal decomposition[11],[12], have been used to control size, shape and composition of these nanomaterials. Thermal decomposition of metal precursors has been demonstrated to be a very effective method to prepare monodisperse nanoparticles with controlled morphology[13] . To develop original magnetic properties bimagnetic core/shell nanostructured particles have been synthesized and characterized.[14] These particles are a combination of a magnetic hard phase (e.g. $CoFe_2O_4$) and a magnetic soft phase (e. g. $MnFe_2O_4$, $ZnFe_2O_4$ or Fe_3O_4), and possess unique magnetic properties.[15]. They are expected to have a good efficiency for magnetic hyperthermia.[16]

We report here the synthesis and characterization of what we call trimagnetic core/shell/shell Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$@$MnFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles. These particles are a combination of a hard phase ($CoFe_2O_4$) and two soft phases (Fe_3O_4 and $MnFe_2O_4$), and have unique magnetic characteristics. The Fe_3O_4 core particles were synthesized according to the procedure described by Sun and all[13] by high-temperature decomposition (∼280℃) of a mixture of $Fe(acac)_3$, oleic acid, oleylamine, 1,2-hexadecanediol and benzyl ether. To synthesize Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$ core/shell and Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$@$MnFe_2O_4$ core/shell/shell nanoparticles, a seed-mediated growth at high temperature method was used. The Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles seeds (1.5 mmol) dispersed in heptane were mixed under a flow of nitrogen with a mixture of $Fe(acac)_3$ (1 mmol), $Co(acac)_2$ (0.5 mmol), oleic acid (6 mmol), oleylamine (6 mmol), 1,2-hexadecanediol (10 mmol), benzyl ether (20 mL). The solution was first heated to 100℃ for 30 min to remove heptane, then to reflux (∼300℃) for 1h. The final mixture was cooled down to room temperature, washed with ethanol and a black precipitate was collected after magnetic precipitation. The separated nanoparticles were re-dispersed in heptane, and a black ferrofluid composed of Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$ bimagnetic core@shell nanoparticles was produced. Under the same conditions, Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$@$MnFe_2O_4$ core/shell/shell nanoparticles dispersed in heptane, were obtained by mixing the Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$ bi-magnetic seeds (1.5 mmol) with a mixture made of 1 mmol of $Fe(acac)_3$ and 0.5 mmol of $Mn(acac)_2$.



FIG. 1: TEM images and size distribution histograms of (a) 6 nm Fe_3O_4 core nanoparticles, (b) 8 nm Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$ core/shell nanoparticles and (c) 12 nm Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$@$MnFe_2O_4$ core/shell/shell nanoparticles obtained with a JEOL 100CX (x93000).

Figure 1 shows the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of 6 nm Fe_3O_4 core, 8 nm Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$ core/shell, and 12 nm Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$@$MnFe_2O_4$ core/shell/shell nanoparticles. TEM size analysis indicates that particles are monodisperse with narrow size distributions. Histograms of core, core/shell, and core/shell/shell nanoparticles provide a nice illustration of the progressive increase of particles size as soon as a new magnetic shell is added.

We compare the magnetic properties (blocking temperature and coercivity) of the core, core/shell and

*Electronic address: veronica.gavrilov-isaac@upmc.fr



FIG. 2: (a) Blocking temperature and (b) coercivity at 5K of Fe_3O_4 core nanoparticles (Fe), Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$ core/shell nanoparticles (Fe@Co) and Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$@$MnFe_2O_4$ core/shell/shell nanoparticles (Fe@Co@Mn).

core/shell/shell particles. Figure 2a shows the zero-field cooled (ZFC) temperature dependence of magnetization under a 50 Oe field. The blocking temperature (T_B) increases when comparing core, core/shell, and core/shell/shell structures. Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles display a blocking temperature at 25 K, although this of Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$ is around 210 K. The increase between the blocking temperatures of the core/shell and core/shell/shell structures (T_B = 305 K) is lower, indicating that the magnetic hard phase shell ($CoFe_2O_4$) has a more important impact on the blocking temperature compared to magnetic soft phase shell ($MnFe_2O_4$).

Magnetization as a function of the magnetic field acquired at 5K, is displayed in Figure 2b. The temperature is lower that the blocking temperature and a hysteresis look is obtained for each sample. This result is quite different of the two phase magnetic behavior that would have been obtained with physically mixed $CoFe_2O_4$ and $MnFe_2O_4$ nanocrystals[15]. This confirmes the core/shell and core/shell/shell structures of the synthesized particles. Coercivity H_C is significantly different in bimagnetic core/shell and trimagnetic core/shell/shell nanoparticles compared to magnetic core nanoparticles. Hysteresis measurements show that coercivity increases when the magnetic soft phase Fe_3O_4 core is coated with a magnetic hard phase $CoFe_2O_4$ shell. It changes from 0.2 kOe for Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles to 9 kOe for Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles. These results regarding the core/shell particles are in good accordance with those of Song and Zhang[15] who have evidenced a coercivity increase for $MnFe_2O_4$ particles coated with a $CoFe_2O_4$ shell and a decrease for $CoFe_2O_4$ particles coated by a $MnFe_2O_4$ shell. In their paper, the authors discussed their observations in terms of a simple model in which coercitivity is ruled by the proportion of hard and soft phases within a particle. Our results, for a Fe_3O_4@$CoFe_2O_4$ core/shell particles coated with an additional shell made of a magnetic soft phase (here $MnFe_2O_4$ but similar results were obtained for a second shell made of Fe_3O_4), show that contrary to expectations from this simple model, the coercivity is increased (H_c = 17 kOe). This shows that the physics governing the magnetic properties of trimagnetic core/shell/shell nanoparticles is certainly more complex than anticipated from the results on bimagnetic core/shell nanoparticles and should be investigated more thoroughly by numerical simulations and on the experimental side by varying the shell thicknesses and the nature of materials. In the same time, it provides new opportunities towards a fine tuning of the magnetic anisotropy of magnetic nanoparticles.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Aude Michel for the technical assistance, and P. Beaunier for the access to the TEM platform.

[1] N. A. Frey, S. Peng, K. Cheng, and S. Sun, Chem. Soc. Rev. **38**, 2532 (2009).
[2] A.-H. Lu, E. L. Salabas, and F. Schüth, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **46**, 1222 (2007).
[3] F. A. Tourinho and R. Franck, J. Mater. Sci. **25**, 3249 (1990).
[4] S. Neveu, A. Bee, M. Robineau, and D. Talbot, J. Colloid Interface Sci. **255**, 293 (2002).
[5] S. Ammar, A. Helfen, N. Jouini, F. Fiévet, I. Rosenman, F. Villain, P. Molinié, and M. Danot, J. Mater. Chem.

11, 186 (2001).
[6] D. Caruntu, Y. Remond, N. H. Chou, M.-J. Jun, G. Caruntu, J. He, G. Goloverda, C. OConnor, and V. Kolesnichenko, Inorg. Chem. **41**, 6137 (2002).
[7] N. Moumen and M. P. Pileni, Chem. Mater. **8**, 1128 (1996).
[8] T. J. Daou, G. Pourroy, S. Bégin-Colin, J. M. Grenèche, C. Ulhaq-Bouillet, P. Legaré, P. Bernhardt, C. Leuvrey, and G. Rogez, Chem. Mater. **18**, 4399 (2006).
[9] O. Horner, S. Neveu, S. de Montredon, J.-M. Siaugue, and V. Cabuil, J. Nanopart. Res. **11**, 1247 (2009).
[10] A. Abou-Hassan, S. Neveu, V. Dupuis, and V. Cabuil, RSC Adv. **2**, 11263 (2012).
[11] L. Pérez-Mirabet, E. Solano, F. Martínez-Julián, R. Guzmán, J. Arbiol, T. Puig, X. Obradors, A. Pomar, R. Yáñez, J. Ros, et al., Mater. Res. Bull. **48**, 966 (2013).
[12] Q. Song and Z. J. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **126**, 6164 (2004).
[13] S. Sun, H. Zeng, D. B. Robinson, S. Raoux, P. M. Rice, S. X. Wang, and G. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **126**, 273 (2004).
[14] O. Masala, D. Hoffman, N. Sundaram, K. Page, T. Proffen, G. Lawes, and R. Seshadri, Solid State Sci. **8**, 1015 (2006).
[15] Q. Song and Z. J. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **134**, 10182 (2012).
[16] J.-H. Lee, J.-T. Jang, J.-S. Choi, S. H. Moon, S.-H. Noh, J.-W. Kim, J.-G. Kim, I.-S. Kim, K. I. Park, and J. Cheon, Nature Nanotechnol. **6**, 418 (2011).